

PSU/TH/196

March 1998

On the Hagedorn Transition and Collective Dynamics of D0-branes

S.CHAUDHURI and D.MINIC

Physics Department
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

shyamoli@phys.psu.edu, minic@phys.psu.edu

Abstract

Banks, Fischler, Klebanov and Susskind have proposed a model for black hole thermodynamics based on the principle that the entropy is of order the number of particles at the phase transition point in a Boltzmann gas of D0-branes. We show that the deviations from Boltzmann scaling found in $d < 6$ noncompact spatial dimensions have a simple explanation in the analysis of self-gravitating random walks due to Horowitz and Polchinski. In the special case of $d=4$ we find evidence for the onset of a phase transition in the Boltzmann gas analogous to the well-known Hagedorn transition in a gas of free strings. Our result relies on an estimate of the asymptotic density of states in a dilute gas of D0-branes.

Matrix theory [1] has motivated a qualitative description of the physics of Schwarzschild black holes in $d > 4$ noncompact spatial dimensions in terms of the thermodynamic properties of a Boltzmann gas of D0branes, interacting via long range gravitational forces [2-9]. According to this proposal neutral black holes may be understood as bound states of the partons of Matrix theory. The analysis is entirely within the mean field approximation to the collective dynamics of D0branes, where the D0-branes are *distinguishable* Boltzmann particles [3,13-15]. All basic qualitative features of the thermodynamics of Schwarzschild black holes are derived using simple scaling arguments.

Let us summarize these scaling relations. In [2,3] the Bekenstein-Hawking relation for a black hole in $D = d + 1$ spacetime dimensions was deduced from a mean field analysis of the collective dynamics of N Matrix theory partons in the limit of low velocities v and large relative separations r . The mean field Lagrangian of N D0branes, with longitudinal momentum $P = N/R$, is

$$L = N \frac{v^2}{2R} + N^2 \frac{G_D}{R^3} \frac{v^4}{r^{D-4}} \quad . \quad (1)$$

Application of the Virial Theorem, and the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, $v \sim \frac{R}{R_S}$, to a purported bound state of N D0branes of transverse size, $r = R_S$, gives the scaling $N \sim R_S^{D-2}/G_D$. If we assume the simple rule of thumb $S \sim N$, we get the Bekenstein-Hawking scaling relation,

$$S \sim R_S^{D-2}/G_D \quad , \quad (2)$$

which describes the thermodynamics of a $d + 1$ -dimensional black hole with Schwarzschild radius R_S and entropy S . The Schwarzschild radius can then be shown to scale with the black hole mass, M , according to the relation

$$R_S \sim (G_D M)^{\frac{1}{D-3}} \quad , \quad (3)$$

upon using the basic light cone kinematical relation, $E \sim M^2 R/N$. Note that, because of the form of the velocity dependent interaction of slow-moving D0branes, the manipulations that led to the Bekenstein Hawking identity are ill-defined in the important case of $d = 4$ noncompact spatial dimensions. In fact, from the expression

$$S \sim G_D^{\frac{1}{D-3}} \left(\frac{NE}{R} \right)^{\frac{D-2}{2(D-3)}} , \quad (4)$$

we see that it is not possible to match to the scaling relation characteristic of a *field* theory in d spatial dimensions $S \sim E^{\frac{p}{p+1}}$, with integer p , in the cases $d > 5$ [3]. Furthermore, the assumption $N \sim S$ gives a specific heat that is either infinite or negative in the cases $d < 6$ implying a breakdown of the Boltzmann gas model.

We will show in this paper that these facts have a simple explanation in the behavior of self-gravitating random walks as have already been analyzed by Horowitz and Polchinski [10]. We provide an independent estimate for the asymptotic density of states of an ensemble of D0branes in four noncompact spatial dimensions that is direct evidence of a Hagedorn like transition in a gas of free strings. As an aside, we note in passing that the term *Hagedorn transition*, as opposed to the Hagedorn limiting temperature, was first used in the context of phase transitions in the (MIT) bag gas model [31]. Not surprisingly, given the analysis of Horowitz and Polchinski, in the special case of four noncompact dimensions the effect of interactions becomes non-negligible *precisely* at the phase transition point into a black hole. We will find that, at the phase transition point, $S \sim \sqrt{N}$, in agreement with the intuition that the correct setting for the $d=4$ case is the regime $N > S$ [3].

Let us briefly summarize the evidence for a phase transition at $S \sim N$ in a Boltzmann gas of D0branes. These arguments do not take into account the influence of interactions and are independent of spacetime dimensionality. It has been pointed out in [6] that the $S \sim N$ rule of thumb implies $P \sim S/R$, which is believed to hold at the transition point

at which longitudinally stretched black strings become unstable to the formation of black holes. Further discussion of the validity of the scaling relation $S \sim N$ from the viewpoint of the thermodynamic properties of a Boltzmann gas of distinguishable particles was also given in [3] and in [13-15].

First, we note that the Boltzmann model for black holes bears close resemblance to the multiperipheral model for bound state formation in the familiar partonic picture of quantum field theory in the infinite momentum frame [11,12]. At lowest order, neglecting their interactions, the Matrix theory partons can be assumed to be in random Brownian motion filling the transverse $d - 1$ dimensional spatial volume. This transverse space has been identified with the “stretched horizon” of a neutral black hole in M theory. The rescattering of partons is then determined by the famous v^4/r^{D-4} potential of semiclassical Matrix theory, dimensionally reduced to $d + 1$ space-time dimensions [12]. This viewpoint suggests that the neutral black hole is the *generic* bound state into which the Matrix theory partons condense at a phase transition point. While the analogy is suggestive, note that it does *not* take into account the influence of partonic interactions.

Consider the Boltzmann gas model for the collective dynamics of D0-branes as suggested by [2-9]. We begin by pointing out that, quite generically, the thermodynamic relation $S \sim N$ can be linked to the onset of a phase transition in a gas of N distinguishable particles. Evidence for a possible transition is suggested by the singular behavior in the partition function when the degeneracy of states is comparable to the Gibbs-Boltzmann energy factor. The entropy is then proportional to the energy, which in turn, for a gas of distinguishable particles, is proportional to the number of particles. Note that this result can only be applied to the D0brane gas when the influence of interactions on the statistical properties of the free ensemble is negligible. We will argue later that these conditions can

in fact be met in the special case of four noncompact spatial dimensions.

More precisely, the partition function of a Boltzmann gas can be written as

$$Z = \prod_k \sum_{n_k} g(k)^{n_k} e^{-\beta n_k \epsilon(k)} = \prod_k \frac{1}{1 - g(k) e^{-\beta \epsilon(k)}} \quad (5)$$

where the level of degeneracy is denoted by $g(k)$ [12-14]. Note that the partition function diverges if $g(k) \sim e^{\beta \epsilon(k)}$ indicating the onset of a phase transition. Typically, most of the particles are found in one of the quantum states at some critical value β_c . There exists a direct analogy between this phenomenon and Bose-Einstein condensation, except for the important fact that in the case at hand the “condensation” takes place in coordinate, rather than momentum space. At the phase transition point the entropy of the condensate, $S = \beta E + \ln Z$, is directly proportional to the energy, $S = \beta_c E$. Since $E \sim \frac{N}{\beta}$ for a gas of distinguishable particles, we see that at the critical temperature we recover the scaling relation $S \sim N$. This relation has in fact appeared in previous discussions of phase transitions and black hole physics that were made independent of the connection to M/string theory (see, for example, [16]).

The Boltzmann gas model can in fact be directly motivated from the partition function of the $\mathcal{N} = 16$ supersymmetric U(N) Yang-Mills quantum mechanics [1,17,18] describing the dynamics of nonrelativistic D0-branes $Z_M = \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta H_M)$, with the Matrix theory Hamiltonian [1]

$$H_M = R \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} P_a^2 + \frac{1}{4} [X_a, X_b]^2 + \bar{\theta} \gamma^a [X_a, \theta] \right). \quad (6)$$

In the limit of low velocities and large separations, a one-loop evaluation of the Matrix theory partition function [18] gives the result

$$Z_M = \exp \left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{v_i^2}{2R} + \beta \frac{15}{16} \frac{G_{11}}{R^3} \sum_{i < j}^N \int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} dt \frac{v_{ij}^4}{(b_{ij}^2 + v_{ij}^2 t^2)^{7/2}} \right) \quad . \quad (7)$$

The leading behavior of (7) captures an essential feature of the multiperipheral model [10] for partonic dynamics; the leading term in the partition function is of Maxwell-Boltzmann form, with $E \sim \frac{N}{\beta}$. This immediately implies that at the phase transition point where $S \sim \beta_c E$, we have the scaling relation $S \sim N$. In this correspondence, the partition function of a gas of N noninteracting distinguishable Boltzmann particles occupying spatial volume V reads $Z_{Boltzmann} \sim V^N \beta^{\frac{N(1-d)}{2}}$ [3,13-15].

To summarize, there is evidence both from the partition function of a generic Boltzmann gas of distinguishable particles, and from the one-loop evaluation of the partition function of Matrix theory, that is suggestive of a phase transition to a neutral black hole at $S \sim N$. However, there are pathologies in the specific heat of the Boltzmann gas which suggest a breakdown of the assumptions behind the model in $d < 6$ noncompact spatial dimensions. We will now show that these facts are simply explained by the results of an analysis of self-gravitating random walks due to Horowitz and Polchinski [10].

The Boltzmann gas model for black holes consists of D0-branes executing random walks in a classical background potential arising from long-range gravitational interactions. The particles are confined to a box size, R_S , determined by the boost in the longitudinal direction [2,3]. The statistical mechanics of random walks with a long-range attractive interaction is precisely that studied by Horowitz and Polchinski [10], in conjunction with the statistical correspondence between long excited string states and black holes [18-23]. The question addressed by these authors is *whether* a long excited string can, in fact, be confined to a region of order the string scale, $R_S \sim \sqrt{\alpha'}$, prior to entering the domain of strong string coupling. The equality of entropies (and masses) at the phase transition, $S_{bh} \sim S_{string}$, requires:

$$\frac{R_S^{d-1}}{G_D} \sim \sqrt{N_s} \quad . \quad (8)$$

Using the usual relation between string coupling and the $d + 1$ -dimensional Newton's constant, $G_D = g^2(\sqrt{\alpha'})^{d-1}$, the critical value of the string coupling at which the transition could occur is determined by the string oscillator level, $g_c \sim N_s^{1/4}$. Horowitz and Polchinski have shown that the critical coupling at which self-interactions become non-negligible is

$$g_o \sim N_s^{\frac{(d-6)}{6}} \quad , \quad (9)$$

which is *larger* than g_c in all dimensions $d > 4$.

Consider the implications of this result. For $d=3$ noncompact spatial dimensions, where the entropy of the Boltzmann gas was infinite, we have $g_0 < g_c$. The gravitational self-interactions must be taken into account *before* the onset of the phase transition. In the special case of $d=4$ dimensions, the interactions become non-negligible precisely when the string is confined to a region of order the string scale, $g_c = g_o$, and the phase transition to a black hole occurs within the domain of weak string coupling. In $d=5$ eqn.(9) suggests the interesting possibility of *hysteresis*, the string continues to shrink due to the gravitational self-interactions past $g \sim g_c$ until the critical coupling $g_0 \sim N_s^{-1/6}$ when it collapses into a black hole. In the reverse transition, the black hole phase remains a good description until $g \sim g_c$. Finally, for all $d > 6$ the transition is in the strong coupling regime and the black hole does not have a weakly coupled string-like phase.

These results exactly match the dependence on spacetime dimensionality found in the scaling analysis of the Boltzmann model, with opposing domains of validity, and with $d=6$ noncompact spatial dimensions the borderline case. In the special case of $d=4$, we can give an independent argument for a phase transition in a dilute gas of D0-branes reminiscent of that for free highly excited strings. Our result suggests that, in four noncompact spatial dimensions, a long Hagedorn string has the same thermodynamic properties as a condensate of $N = N_s$ distinguishable D0-branes. This condensate is in the $N > S$ regime of

the Boltzmann gas.

Recall the random walk picture of highly excited strings. The density of states function for free excited strings displays an exponential growth in the number of states. For excited states at oscillator level N_s , we have [22-26],

$$d(E) \sim E^{-p} \exp(-\beta E) \quad , \quad (10)$$

where $E \sim \sqrt{N_s}$ and $p > 1$. A snapshot of the string at any instant of time resembles a Brownian motion such that the average relative separation of any two string “bits” is a point executing motions in a volume $E^{d/2}$ [22]. Thus, in the absence of interactions, the size of the random walk is $l \sim N_s^{1/4} \alpha'^{1/2}$. The microcanonical ensemble corresponding to such random motions is to be identified with the motions of a *dilute* gas of N weakly interacting D0-branes in d noncompact spatial dimensions. From the analysis of [10], we expect the effect of interactions to be negligible in the special case of self-gravitating random walks in $d=4$.

The absence of interactions at the phase transition in the $d=4$ dilute gas of D0-branes suggests the following simplification in the computation of the asymptotic density of states. We can use an observation due to Vafa [26] (see also the computation in [27][28]) on the density of ground states of m D0-branes, delocalized in the four directions in the world-volume of a D4-brane *transverse* to their common 0+1 dimensional intersection. The generating functional for the ground state degeneracies of the $m0-4$ bound state are easily obtained by noting the isomorphism to the partition function of a conformal field theory of four free bosons and four free fermions. (This is also the world sheet content of the holomorphic part of a type II string in light cone gauge [26].) The generating functional $d(m)$ takes the form (eq. (112) of [28])

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^m d(m) = 256 \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^k}{1-q^k} \right)^8 \quad , \quad (11)$$

and upon setting $m = N$ with N large, we obtain the asymptotic degeneracy $d(N) \sim \exp^{2\pi\sqrt{2N}}$, consistent with a condensate of entropy $S = \ln d(N) \sim \sqrt{N}$. This is evidence of a phase transition in the density of states analogous to the Hagedorn transition of free strings with $N \sim N_s$.

Notice that this is in disagreement with the scaling relations of the Boltzmann model that follow from the naive rule of thumb $S \sim N$. Equating the condensate mass of N D0-branes to the mass of a Hagedorn string of size the string scale, would imply the scaling formula $M^2 \sim \frac{N^2}{R_S}$. At R_S of order the string scale, this gives $M^2 \sim \frac{N_s}{\alpha'}$, which would imply $N^2 \sim N_s$, in disagreement with the result above.

Our result seems to suggest that it may be natural to regard Hagedorn strings as bound states of D0-branes, although we have only been able to provide concrete evidence in the special case of $d=4$ dimensions. If one examines the microcanonical ensemble of free strings in the Hagedorn limit, as in [22], one finds that, to first order, $S \sim \beta_H E$. But this relation is what we expect from the general argument given above (eq. (3)), if we identify β_c with β_H at the Hagedorn point. But we know that according to Matrix theory black holes are simply bound states of D0-branes. Also in the Hagedorn limit the entropy is proportional to energy [25], just as in the Boltzmann model of Matrix black holes [2-9]. The interpretation of Hagedorn strings as bound states of D0-branes is natural from the point of view of Matrix string theory [29].

Indeed, according to [29] the large N limit of a two dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills field theory describes the non-perturbative dynamics of ten-dimensional light cone string theory. D0-branes correspond to states with non-zero electric flux in the supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, the flux being equal to the D0-brane charge. The sector of the Yang-Mills theory that accounts for states which do not carry electric flux

describes strings in the background of D0-branes. Moreover, the strongly coupled limit of this two dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, which corresponds to the weakly coupled limit of string theory, is conjectured to be an $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal field theory with the central charge $8N$ [29]. Using this fact and Cardy's formula for the density of states in two-dimensional conformal field theory [30] we estimate the entropy to be $S \sim N$, which is again consistent with the Boltzmann model of collective D0-brane dynamics. Thus, from the viewpoint of Matrix String Theory, it is natural to regard Hagedorn strings as bound states of D0-branes that form at a *particular* value of the Yang-Mills coupling.

The resulting picture meshes nicely with the well known entropy vs energy interpretation of the Hagedorn transition [25]. The entropy of long strings wins over energy close to the Hagedorn transition point, indicating the increase in the density of states and the importance of string interactions [24]. The entropy of a sufficiently excited Hagedorn string is proportional to its length, $\sqrt{N}_s$. And, from the correspondence above, this is simply the number of partonic constituents N of a Matrix theory “string”, i.e., the number of string “bits” in Susskind's picture [19]. It has been observed in the past that the high temperature limit of D-dimensional string theory behaves like a field theory in *two* space-time dimensions [24], indicating a drastic reduction in the number of degrees of freedom as compared to ordinary field theory. This observation follows from a T-duality in the time direction, as applied to the free energy of noninteracting strings. Note that this observation is compatible with the description of Hagedorn strings provided by Matrix string theory [29]: two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetric $U(N)$ Yang-Mills quantum field theory in the strong coupling regime, for which $S \sim N$.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Kirill Krasnov, Miao Li, and Joe Polchinski for interesting discussions. We would like to thank Jürgen Baacke for bringing reference [31] to our attention.

References

1. T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 5112.
For a review and further references, see T.Banks, hep-th/9706168; D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, hep-th/9712072.
2. T. Banks, W. Fischler, I. Klebanov and L. Susskind, hep-th/9709091.
3. T. Banks, W. Fischler, I. Klebanov and L. Susskind, hep-th/9711005.
4. I. Klebanov and L. Susskind, hep-th/9709108.
5. E. Halyo, hep-th/9709225; S. Das, S. Mathur, S. Kalyana Rama and P. Ramadevi, hep-th/9711003.
6. G. Horowitz and E. Martinec, hep-th/9710217.
7. M. Li, hep-th/9710226.
8. M. Li and E. Martinec, hep-th/9801070.
9. T. Banks, W. Fischler and I. Klebanov, hep-th/9712236.
10. G. Horowitz and J. Polchinski, hep-th/9707170.
11. J. Kogut and L. Susskind, Phys. Rep. 8 (1973) 78.
12. H. Awata, S. Chaudhuri, M. Li, and D. Minic, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998), hep-th/9706083.
13. H. Liu and A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9712063; D. Minic, hep-th/9712202.
14. A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 3397; I. V. Volovich, hep-th/9608137.
15. J. Hartle, R. Stolt, and J. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D2 (1970) 1759; O. W. Greenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 705; Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 4111.

16. K. Krasnov, gr-qc/9603025; gr-qc/9605047 and references therein.
17. M. Baake, P. Reinicke, V. Rittenberg, J. Math. Phys. 26 (1985) 1070; R. Flume, Ann. Phys. 164 (1985) 189; C. Claudson and M. Halpern, Nucl. Phys. B250 (1985) 689.
18. N. Ohta and Jian-Ge Zhou, hep-th/9801023; O. Tafjord and V. Periwal, hep-th/9711046.
19. L. Susskind, hep-th/9309145.
20. See, for example, A. Peet, hep-th/9712253, and references within; J. Maldacena, hep-th/9607235.
21. G. Horowitz and J. Polchinski, hep-th/9612146.
22. P. Salomonson and B.-S. Skagerstam, Nucl. Phys. B268 (1986) 349; Physica A158 (1989) 499 and references within; D. Mitchell and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1577; Nucl. Phys. B294 (1987) 1138.
23. B. Sathiapalan, Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 3227; I. A. Kogan, JETP Lett. 45 (1987) 709.
24. J. J. Atick and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B310 (1988), 291, and references therein.
25. R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B316 (1988) 391.
26. C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B463 (1996) 415. See, also, Section 6 of C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B431 (1994) 3.
27. M. Douglas, D. Kabat, P. Pouliot, and S. Shenker, hep-th/9608024.
28. J. Polchinski, *TASI Lectures on D-branes*, pp. 44, hep-th/9611050.
29. R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, hep-th/9703030.
30. J. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B270 (1986) 186.
31. J. Baacke, Acta Phys. Polonica **B8** 625 (1977).